

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

(117)

CWP-28101-2022

Date of Decision : December 07, 2022

Sohan Lal and others

.. Petitioners

Versus

State of Haryana and others

.. Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI

Present: Mr. Jasbir Mor, Advocate, for the petitioners.

HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI J. (ORAL)

Learned counsel for the petitioners argues that the petitioners competed for the post of TGT Science and though the petitioners were shown to have cleared the written test but keeping in view the marks secured, the petitioners were not selected against the posts advertised. Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that after the initial declaration of result, certain posts, which were advertised in the reserved category of SBC, were reverted to the general category, which necessitated for issuance of another merit list but the petitioners were still unable to make the grade keeping in view the marks secured, but as of now, certain posts in the general category are still lying vacant but the petitioners' claim is not being considered on the ground that no waiting list has been issued.

Learned counsel for the petitioners further submits that once the posts out of the advertised are lying vacant, the respondents are under an

obligation to consider the claim of the petitioners being in merit.

Learned counsel for the petitioners further submits that the petitioners have already raised the said grievance before the respondents by filing representations dated 23.11.2022 (Annexures P-18 and P-19) addressed to the Chairman, which are still pending consideration with the respondents and the petitioners will be satisfied, at this stage, in case a time bound direction is issued to the respondents to decide the said representations by passing an appropriate speaking order.

Notice of motion.

Mr. Rajesh Gaur, learned Additional Advocate General, Haryana, who is present in Court, accepts notice on behalf of the respondents and raises no objection in deciding the representations dated 23.11.2022 (Annexures P-18 and P-19) in a time bound manner by passing an appropriate speaking order.

Without commenting upon the merits of the case or about the entitlement of the petitioners for the relief which has been claimed by them in the representations dated 23.11.2022 (Annexures P-18 and P-19), the present writ petition is disposed of with a direction to respondent No.2 to decide the representations dated 23.11.2022 (Annexures P-18 and P-19) within a period of eight weeks from the receipt of copy of this order.

December 07, 2022

harsha

(HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI)

JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes

Whether reportable : No