

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

(119)

CRM-M-56333-2022

Date of decision: - 05.12.2022

Krishna Singh and another

....Petitioners

Versus

State of Punjab and others

.....Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIKAS BAHL

Present:- Ms. Chaynika Gautam, Advocate,
for the petitioners.

Mr. Tarun Aggarwal, Sr. D.A.G., Punjab.

VIKAS BAHL, J. (ORAL)

This is a petition under Section 482 Cr.P.C. for the issuance of directions to the respondents to conduct the independent and fair investigation into the complaint dated 07.09.2022 (Annexure P-2).

Learned counsel for petitioners has submitted that in the present case, respondents No.5 and 6 are ASIs and respondent No.7, who is working as a constable, in the Punjab police, along with respondent No.4-Harvinder Singh, who is working as chowkidar at the Market Committee, Amargarh, have committed atrocities with petitioner No.1, who is the minor of petitioner No.2, on account of the fact that the petitioners were opposing the illegal activities being carried out by the said persons in the market committee, which is situated close to the house of the petitioners. It is further submitted that the petitioners have given a

representation/complaint dated 07.09.2022 (Annexure P-3) to respondent No.2 and they would be satisfied in case the present petition is disposed of with a direction to respondent No.2-the Senior Superintendent, District Malerkotla, to look into the said representation (P-3) independently and take appropriate action in accordance with law.

Notice of motion to the respondents.

On advance notice, Mr. Tarun Aggarwal, Sr. DAG, Punjab, accepts notice on behalf of the respondents and on a specific query put by this Court, he has stated that he has no objection in case, respondent No.2-Senior Superintendent of Police, Malerkotla, considers the representation (Annexure P-3) and takes appropriate action, in accordance with law.

Keeping in view of the above-said facts and circumstances, the present petition is disposed of with a direction to respondent No.2-Senior Superintendent of Police, Malerkotla to consider the representation dated 07.09.2022 (Annexure P-3) and take appropriate action, in accordance with law.

It is made clear that this Court has not given any opinion on the merits of the case and it would be open to respondent No.2 to consider the representation (P-3) independently and after ascertaining the facts mentioned, would take appropriate action, in accordance with law.

December 05, 2022
naresh.k

(VIKAS BAHL)
JUDGE

Whether reasoned/speaking?
Whether reportable?

Yes/No
Yes/No