

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

Sr. No.117

CWP-27572-2022 (O&M)

Date of decision : 02.12.2022

Bhagat Ram

..... Petitioner

VERSUS

State of Haryana and others

..... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SUDHIR MITTAL

Present: Mr. A.K. Jindal, Advocate, for the petitioner.

SUDHIR MITTAL, J. (Oral)

The grievance of the petitioner is that despite passing of judgment and decree dated 03.12.2011 in civil suit titled as Rattan Lal Vs. Parkash Chand, corrected vide order dated 07.08.2015, mutation in his favour has not been entered, even though, detailed representation in this regard dated 15.09.2022 has been submitted.

Notice of motion.

Ms. Tanushree Gupta, DAG, Haryana accepts notice on behalf of all the respondents-State and waives service.

Considering the nature of the order being passed, no reply is necessary.

The writ petition is disposed of with a direction to respondent No.3 to decide the pending representation dated 15.09.2022 (Annexure P6) by passing a speaking order within eight weeks from the date of receipt of certified copy of this order. The petitioner be also heard before passing order.

**(SUDHIR MITTAL)
JUDGE**

02.12.2022

Ramandeep Singh

Whether speaking / reasoned

Yes / No

Whether Reportable

Yes/ No