

COCP-2673-2022

Date of Decision :21.12.2022

Barkat Ali

...Petitioner

versus

Virat, HCS

....Respondent

Coram : Hon'ble Mr. Justice B.S. WaliaPresent : Mr. Namit Sharma, Advocate for
Mr. Ravinder Singh Dhull, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Pawan Kumar Longia, DAG, Haryana.

B.S. Walia, J. (Oral)

1. Prayer in the petition is for initiation of proceedings against the respondent for intentional and willful defiance of order, Annexure P-1 dated 12.12.2022 in CWP-20601-2022.
2. A perusal of order Annexure P-1 reveals that CWP-20601-2022 was disposed of by directing the respondent to decide the claim of the petitioner as contained in representation dated 26.08.2022 within 8 weeks from the date of receipt of copy of the order.
3. Pursuant to notice issued to the respondent to show cause as to why proceedings under the Contempt of Courts Act, 1971 be not initiated against him, learned State counsel has produced copy of speaking order dated 21.12.2022 rejecting the claim of the petitioner as contained in representation dated 26.08.2022. Reply has been filed on behalf of respondent No.3 in Court. The same is taken on record. Copy thereof supplied to learned counsel for the petitioner, who on perusal thereof, states

that he does not press the instant petition but prays for grant of liberty to

challenge order dated 21.12.2022 by way of appropriate proceedings in accordance with law.

4. In view of the position noted above as well as statement of learned counsel for the petitioner, the instant petition is *disposed of* as not calling for any action against the respondent under the Contempt of Courts Act, 1971 while granting liberty to the petitioner as prayed for.
5. Rule discharged.

(B.S. Walia)
Judge

21.12.2022

ps

<i>Whether speaking/ reasoned</i>	:	<i>Yes/No</i>
<i>Whether reportable</i>	:	<i>Yes/No</i>