

COCN-2698-2022

Date of Decision :21.12.2022

Rajesh Kumar

...Petitioner

versus

Sanjay Doon

....Respondent

Coram : Hon'ble Mr. Justice B.S. WaliaPresent : Mr. Raj Kapoor Malik, Advocate for the petitioner.
Mr. Manish Dadwal, AAG, Haryana.

B.S. Walia, J. (Oral)

1. Prayer in the petition is for initiation of proceedings against the respondent for intentional and willful defiance of order, Annexure P/1 dated 25.03.2022, in CWP-8317-2022.

2. A perusal of order Annexure P/1 reveals that CWP-8317-2022, was disposed of by directing the respondent to consider and decide the claim of the petitioner as contained in demand notice dated 02.03.2022, in accordance with law, within three months.

3. Pursuant to the notice issued in the instant case, the respondent has filed compliance report along with speaking order dated 19.12.2022, accepting the claim of the petitioner as contained in demand notice dated 02.03.2022. Learned AAG, Haryana, on instructions from Mr. Vijay Pal, Assistant, Head Office, Development and Panchayat Department, Haryana, states that follow-up action in terms of order Annexure R/1 would be taken within three months from today. The same is taken on record. Copy thereof supplied to learned counsel for the petitioner, who on perusal of the same states that in view of the stand of the respondent, he does not press the instant petition.

4. In view of the position noted above as well as statement of

learned counsel for the petitioner, the instant petition is *disposed of* as not calling for any action against the respondent under the Contempt of Courts Act, 1971. However, in the eventuality of needful not being done in the manner as indicated above, the petitioner would be at liberty to move an application for revival of the contempt petition.

5. Rule discharged.

(B.S. Walia)
Judge

21.12.2022
Sapna/Neetika

Whether speaking/ reasoned	:	Yes/No
Whether reportable	:	Yes/No