

**216 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CRM-M-55974-2022
DECIDED ON: 7th DECEMBER, 2022**

AMAN

.....PETITIONER

VERSUS

STATE OF HARYANA

.....RESPONDENT

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANDEEP MOUDGIL.

Present: Mr. Vijay Lath, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Surender Singh, AAG, Haryana.

SANDEEP MOUDGIL, J (ORAL)

The instant petition has been filed seeking regular bail in FIR No.114, dated 30.04.2021 (Annexure P-1), under Sections 148, 149, 323, 341 and 506 IPC (Sections 148, 149 and 506 IPC have been deleted and challan under Sections 302, 341, 323 read with Section 34 IPC has been presented) registered at Police Station Uchana, District Jind.

Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that the allegations against the petitioner and others, except Vishal @ Girdhala and Deepak are that they have given lathi and danda blows to the deceased-Sandeep. He further submits that no specific injury has been attributed to the present petitioner whereas, the gandasi blows on the vital part, i.e., head and shoulder of the deceased have been attributed to Vishal @ Girdhala and Deepak, respectively. He submits that the challan has been presented and

the case is now fixed for framing of charges.

He also relies upon the orders dated 06.09.2022 and 16.11.2022 passed in CRM-M-39485-2022 and CRM-M-44406-2022 whereby the co-accused of the petitioner namely Vikas @ Vicky and Deepak, respectively, have been granted the concession of regular bail by this Court.

Custody certificate of the petitioner filed by the counsel for the State is taken on record.

Learned State counsel did not controvert the factual aspect with regard to the role of the petitioner in the FIR as submitted by the counsel for the petitioner. He has also not disputed the factum of presentation of challan.

Keeping in view the afore-said bail orders, coupled with the facts that the investigation in the present case is complete; co-accused have already been granted the concession of regular bail; no recovery is to be made; challan stands presented; and conclusion of the trial is likely to take sufficient long time; petitioner is, therefore, granted bail on his furnishing surety bonds to the satisfaction of the Chief Judicial Magistrate/Duty Magistrate concerned.

Accordingly, the present petition stands allowed.

(SANDEEP MOUDGIL)
JUDGE

7th DECEMBER, 2022
sham

<i>Whether speaking/reasoned</i>	<i>Yes/No</i>
<i>Whether reportable</i>	<i>Yes/No</i>