

**201 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

**CRM-46318-2022 in/and
CRM-M-55873-2022**

Date of Decision: 20th December, 2022

Kavita Kumari

... Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana

... Respondent

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AVNEESH JHINGAN

Present: Mr. Pankaj Nanera, Advocate for the petitioner.

Ms. Geeta Sharma, DAG, Haryana.

AVNEESH JHINGAN , J.(Oral)

1. This petition is filed seeking anticipatory bail in FIR No. 38 dated 18.11.2022 under Sections 7 and 13(1)(b) of Prevention of Corruption Act, 1988 registered at P.S. SVB, Faridabad, District Faridabad.
2. Notice of motion was issued on 2nd December, 2022.
3. Learned State Counsel has filed reply dated 19.12.2022, the same is taken on record. The relevant paragraph No. 8 of the reply is reproduced hereinbelow :-

'That in so far as the averments in para 8 are concerned it is submitted that the petitioner is absconding and is avoiding the investigation despite notices under Section 41-A Cr.P.C having been served on her through her family.'

4. Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that the petitioner had not received notice under Section 41-A Cr.P.C. but she will appear before the Investigating Officer on 26.12.2022 at 11:00 AM.

5. Learned State Counsel at this stage submits that let the petitioner appears in compliance of notice under Section 41-A Cr.P.C. and in case she is to be arrested, she would be given three days clear notice.
6. In view of the fact that as on date only notice under Section 41-A Cr.P.C has been given, there is no apprehension of arrest at this stage.
7. Petition is disposed of.
8. Since the main case has been decided, the pending application, if any is rendered infructuous.

(AVNEESH JHINGAN)
JUDGE

20th December, 2022
anuradha

Whether reasoned/speaking	Yes/No
Whether reportable	Yes/No