

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

119

CWP-27694-2022

Date of decision : 02.12.2022.

Naresh Kumar and others

... Petitioners

Versus

Managing Director, Haryana Vidhut Parsharan Nigam Ltd. and others

.. Respondents

CORAM :HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANUPINDER SINGH GREWAL

Present:- Mr. Sandeep Thakan, Advocate for the petitioners.

Anupinder Singh Grewal, J. (Oral)

The petitioners have challenged the order dated 10.05.2021 (Annexure P-6) passed by respondent No.2 whereby their representation for stepping up of their pay at par with one-Rajender Singh (respondent No.3) has been rejected.

Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that the services of the petitioners had been regularized ahead earlier than respondent No.3 and therefore, their pay should be stepped up equal to the pay being drawn by Rajender Singh.

Heard.

A perusal of the impugned order (Annexure P-6) indicates that respondent No.3 had joined as Daily Wager on 09.05.1980 while the petitioners had joined as Daily Wagers w.e.f. 19.09.1980 and thereafter. The services of the petitioners and respondent No.3 were later regularized on various posts. They were further promoted to the post of Lineman. Respondent No.3-Rajender Singh had been promoted to the post of Lineman on 27.09.2007 while the petitioners had been promoted to the said post later in the year 2010 onwards.

I am in agreement with the impugned order (Anneuxre P-6) as respondent No.3, who was engaged as daily wager on 09.05.1980 while the petitioners were engaged thereafter and the length of service of respondent No.3 is more than the petitioners, they are not entitled to step up of their pay at par with respondent No.3.

Consequently, the petition stands dismissed.

(ANUPINDER SINGH GREWAL)
JUDGE

December 02, 2022
sonia gugnani

Whether speaking/reasoned	:	Yes/No
Whether Reportable	:	Yes/No