

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

116

CWP-27792-2022

Date of Decision :19.12.2022

Mamta

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and others

....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI

Present: Mr. Jasbir Mor, Advocate for the petitioner.

* * *

Harsimran Singh Sethi, J. (Oral)

Learned counsel for the petitioner argues that the respondents have passed an impugned order dated 27.09.2020 (Annexure P/16) on the ground that the case of the petitioner is not covered by the Instructions dated 04.03.2020 issued by the State of Haryana. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the said Instructions dated 04.03.2020 were amended by the respondents on 11.05.2022, according to which amendment, the petitioner was entitled for readjustment but the said amendment has not been taken into account by the respondents while considering the claim of the petitioner and passing an impugned order dated 27.09.2020 (Annexure P/16).

Notice of motion.

Mr. Harish Nain, AAG, Haryana accepts notice on behalf of the respondent-State.

Learned State counsel very fairly submits that he has

instructions to submit that order dated 27.09.2020 (Annexure P/16) passed by the respondents may kindly be treated as withdrawn with liberty to the respondents to pass a fresh order in accordance with law within a period of 08 weeks from the date of receipt of copy of this order.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that as the speaking order dated 27.09.2020 (Annexure P/16) passed by the respondents has already been withdrawn, the present writ petition has been rendered infructuous and the same may be disposed of as such.

Ordered accordingly.

December 19, 2022

aarti

(HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI)

JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No

Whether reportable : Yes/No