

RAJESH @ RAJESH SINGH AND ORS.

...PETITIONER

VERSUS

STATE OF PUNJAB

...RESPONDENT

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIVEK PURI

Present: Mr. Raman Kumar, Advocate for
Mr. Naveen Batra, Advocate for the petitioners.

Mr. Sidakmeet Singh Sandhu, AAG, Punjab.

Mr. Angad Parmar, Advocate for
Mr. Vivek K. Thakur, Advocate for respondent No.2.

VIVEK PURI, J. (ORAL)

As per report received from Judicial Magistrate 1st Class, Nangal, the complainant-Sunita Rani had not come present to get the statement recorded.

This is a petition for quashing of FIR bearing No. 25 dated 02.03.2017, under Sections 406/498-A IPC, registered at Police Station City Nangal, District Rupnagar on the basis of compromise.

As the complainant/respondent No.2 had not appeared before the trial Court, in such circumstances, it cannot be concluded that any genuine compromise has been effected between the parties.

Faced with this situation, learned counsel for the petitioners seek to withdraw the present petition.

Dismissed as withdrawn.

31.03.2022

renubala

(VIVEK PURI)

JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned: Yes/No

Whether reportable: Yes/No