

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH.**

**CRWP-11299-2022 (O&M)**

**Date of Decision: 6.12.2022**

Pritam Devi and another

**....Petitioners**

**VERSUS**

State of Haryana and others

**....Respondents**

**CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE KARAMJIT SINGH**

Present: Mr. Lajpat Rai Sharma, Advocate,  
for the petitioners.

\*\*\*\*\*

**KARAMJIT SINGH, J.(Oral)**

Petitioners are praying for direction to respondents No.2 and 3 to provide protection to their life and liberty from the hands of private respondents No.4 to 6.

Counsel for the petitioner submits that petitioner No.1 is more than 18 years of age and is unmarried while petitioner No.2 aged about 23 years got married with respondent No.6 but now they are having strained relations and presently, both the petitioners are into live-in relationship and they are threatened by respondents No.4 to 6.

Counsel for the petitioners further submits that as the petitioners are apprehending threat from the side of the aforesaid private respondents, they gave representation dated 27.11.2022 (Annexure P-5) to respondent No.2 but the police authorities failed to take any action on the basis of the said representation. Counsel for the petitioners further submitted that he will be satisfied if necessary action is taken by the

authorities on the basis of the aforesaid representation.

Mr. Naveen Kumar Sheoran, DAG, Haryana appearing for the official respondents submits that in case any such representation is pending, the concerned authority will look into the matter and take action in accordance with law.

In view of above, without going into the merits of the case, this petition is hereby disposed of with direction to Superintendent of Police, Karnal to consider representation dated 27.11.2022 (Annexure P-5) and to take action if so warranted in accordance with law in case there is any threat perception to the petitioners.

However, any observations made in this order will not be construed as an expression of opinion on the validity of the relationship of the petitioners. It is also made clear that respondents No.4 to 6 are at liberty to avail appropriate remedy available to them under law against the petitioners. In case the petitioners have committed any offence, law will take its own course.

( KARAMJIT SINGH )  
JUDGE

**December 6, 2022**  
Paritosh Kumar

|                           |        |
|---------------------------|--------|
| Whether speaking/reasoned | Yes/No |
| Whether reportable        | Yes/No |