

149 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

COCp-2647-2022

Date of Decision :20.12.2022

KRISHAN KUMAR

...Petitioner

versus

NARESH GOYAL MANAGING DIRECTOR

....Respondent

Coram : Hon'ble Mr. Justice B.S. Walia

Present : Mr. S.S. Sahu, Advocate for the petitioner.
Mr. Sanjiv Gupta, Advocate for the respondent.

B.S. Walia, J. (Oral)

[1] Prayer in the petition is for initiating proceedings against the respondent for intentional and willful defiance of order, Annexure P/1 dated 08.08.2022 in CWP No.17432 of 2022 in case titled as Krishan Kumar vs. Managing Director, Haryana State Co-operative Agriculture and Rural Development Bank Limited, Panchkula and another.

[2] A perusal of order, Annexure P/1 reveals that CWP No.17432 of 2022 was disposed of by directing the respondent to decide representation dated 15.02.2020 filed by the petitioner within eight weeks from the date of receipt of copy of the order.

[3] Learned counsel for the respondent has filed reply dated 16.12.2022 along with order, Annexure R/1 dated 12.12.2022 rejecting the claim of the petitioner as contained in representation dated 15.02.2020. The same is taken on record. Copy thereof is stated to have been supplied to learned counsel for the petitioner.

[4] Learned counsel for the petitioner states that in view of order, Annexure R/1 dated 12.12.2022, he does not press the instant petition but

prays for grant of liberty to the petitioner to challenge order, Annexure R/1 dated 12.12.2022 by way of appropriate proceedings in accordance with law.

[5] In view of the position noted above, as well as statement of learned counsel for the petitioner, the instantpetition is *disposed of* as not calling for any action against the respondent under the Contempt of Courts Act, 1971while granting liberty to the petitioner as prayed for.

[6] Rule discharged.

(B.S. Walia)
Judge

20.12.2022
'Amit'

Whether speaking/ reasoned	:	Yes/No
Whether reportable	:	Yes/No