

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

212

CRM-M-55585-2022
Decided on : 20.12.2022

Gurwinder Singh

. . . Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and another

. . . Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIKAS BAHL

PRESENT: Mr. Munish Raj Chaudhary, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Tarun Aggarwal, Sr. DAG, Punjab.

VIKAS BAHL, J. (Oral)

The present petition has been filed under Section 438 Cr.P.C.
for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioner in criminal Complaint
bearing COMA No. 16/2022 dated 19.04.2022 under Section 18(c) read
with Section 27(b) (ii) and Section 18-A read with Section 28 of the Drugs
and Cosmetic Act, 1940.

On 01.12.2022, this Court was pleased to pass the following
order:

*“Inter alia contends that in the present case the
petitioner has been summoned in a complaint case and the
petitioner is ready to appear before the trial Court within a
period of two weeks from today and has submitted that no
purpose would be served by taking the petitioner in custody as
no investigation is to be done in the present case. On the said
aspect, reliance has been placed upon the judgment of
coordinate Bench of this Court in “Baljit Singh vs. State of
Punjab” passed in CRM-M-26116- 2013 decided on
30.08.20213.*

Notice of motion 20.12.2022.

In case the petitioner appears before the trial Court within a period of two weeks from today, the petitioner would be released on interim bail on his furnishing bail bonds / surety bonds to the satisfaction of the trial Court and also subject to the petitioner giving undertaking to appear on each and every date of hearing except the date on which his personal appearance is specifically exempted.”

Learned counsel for the petitioner has submitted that in pursuance of the abovesaid order, the petitioner has appeared before the CJM, Barnala and has submitted that his bail bonds and surety bonds which have been accepted and attested. Copy of the said order dated 03.12.2022 has been produced in the Court during the course of arguments and is taken on record as Mark-A.

Keeping in view the abovesaid facts and circumstances, the present petition is allowed and the interim order dated 01.12.2022 is made absolute.

20.12.2022
Mehak

(VIKAS BAHL)
JUDGE

Whether reasoned/speaking?	Yes/No
Whether reportable?	Yes/No