

**118 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRWP-11263-2022

Date of Decision: 1st December, 2022

Shama Parween and another

... Petitioners

Versus

State of Punjab and others

... Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AVNEESH JHINGAN

Present: Ms. Neha Gupta, Advocate for the petitioners.

Mr. APS Tung, DAG, Punjab.

AVNEESH JHINGAN , J.(Oral)

This petition is filed for directions to the respondents No. 1 to 3 to protect life and liberty of the petitioners who have solemnized marriage against the wishes of private respondents.

From the perusal of the pleadings, it is forth coming that the representation was sent through courier on 8.11.2022 and on the same date, the petition was drafted. There is another aspect to the matter that the State has provided helpline, portal and website for the couples seeking protection but instead of approaching through electronic medium, the representation was sent through courier.

In view of above, no interference is called for.

Disposed of.

However, there is no doubt that in case the petitioners approach the police authorities, needful in accordance with law would be done expeditiously.

It is clarified that this Court has not commented upon the factum of marriage; validity of marriage of the petitioners and their age.

Since the main case has been disposed of, the pending application, if any is rendered infructuous

(AVNEESH JHINGAN)
JUDGE

1st December, 2022
anuradha

Whether reasoned/speaking	Yes/No
Whether reportable	Yes/No