

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

114

CWP-27474-2022

Date of Decision :01.12.2022

Anand Bagarat

...Petitioner

Versus

Haryana Staff Selection Commission and another

....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI

Present: Mr. Jayoti Parshad Sharma, Advocate for the petitioner

* * *

Harsimran Singh Sethi, J. (Oral)

Learned counsel for the petitioner argues that the petitioner competed for appointment in pursuance to advertisement No.12/2009 for the post of Plumber Instructor (Theory) and the petitioner being fully eligible was allowed to compete but in the final result, he has been declared ineligible and that too without giving any valid reasons, hence, the respondents are under an obligation to consider the claim of the petitioner being fully eligible candidate.

Notice of motion.

Mr. Harish Nain, AAG, Haryana, who is present in the Court accepts notice on behalf of the respondent-State.

Learned State counsel submits that though, the petitioner has filed the present writ petition raising his grievance but the said grievance has never been raised before the authorities concerned and in case a representation raising the grievance as raised by the petitioner in the present

writ petition, is filed by the petitioner before the authorities concerned, an appropriate order on the same will be passed within a period of 04 weeks from the receipt of said representation.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that keeping in view the statement made by the learned State counsel, the present writ petition may be disposed of having been not pressed any further at this stage with liberty to the petitioner to file an appropriate representation raising the grievance as raised by him in the present writ petition with the respondents.

Ordered accordingly.

December 01, 2022

aarti

(HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI)

JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No

Whether reportable : Yes/No