

**112 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRWP No.11329 of 2022 (O&M)

Date of decision : 02.12.2022

Tarun Banda

..... Petitioner

versus

State of Punjab & ors.

..... Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE PANKAJ JAIN

Present :- Mr. Raman B.Garg, Advocate and
Ms. Gitanjali Chhabra, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Arun Gupta, AAG, Punjab.

PANKAJ JAIN, J. (Oral)

Prayer is for issuance of a writ in the nature of Mandamus seeking directions to the official respondents to protect the life and liberty of the petitioner by providing adequate security/Police protection and also directing respondent No.4 not to interfere in the personal life and liberty of the petitioner and his family and not to harass them in any manner against their wishes either himself or through his friends, relatives or other associates by using his political influence.

After going through the contents of the writ petition, this Court finds that the allegations are vague. The only incident that has been brought on record relates to dated 20.05.2022, as spelled out in para-7 of the writ petition, which reads as under :-

“7. That the petitioner had to leave his parental place i.e. Sunam because of criminal intention of the respondent No. 4 to eliminate the petitioner by attacks on his life. The petitioner had shifted to Sangrur

with his family in a rented premises so as to buy peace and to save his life and the life of his family his wife and his old ailing mother (a retired teacher), who has been suffering from acute knee problem. But this also could not stop the respondent No. 4 to attack on the petitioner. The petitioner was beaten up harshly at Bada Chowk, Sangrur on 20.5.2022 by a group of more than five criminal persons sent by the respondent No. 4 and grave injury had been caused to the petitioner breaking bone at the back of ear of the petitioner. The petitioner approached the traffic police man available at Bada Chowk; but he also failed to take appropriate action to save the life of the petitioner. The petitioner approached the respondent No. 3 in this regard. But instead of taking lawful action, the petitioner was pressed hard by the ASI to sign on a compromise by creating fear in the mind of the petitioner.”

On being asked, counsel for the petitioner does not deny that the petitioner has not filed any criminal complaint invoking Section 156 (3) of the Code after police did not act on his alleged complaint.

Mr. Arun Gupta, AAG, Punjab representing the State on advance notice, on instructions from ASI Badal Singh submits that similar writ petition filed at the behest of mother of the petitioner claiming same relief i.e. **CRWP-7231-2022** was dismissed as withdrawn vide order dated 03.08.2022.

Keeping in view the aforesaid facts and the fact that the petitioner has not been able to make out a case warranting issuance of writ in the nature of mandamus, present writ petition is dismissed.

(PANKAJ JAIN)
JUDGE

02.12.2022
Pooja sharma-I

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes
Whether Reportable :	No