

115 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CRWP-11268-2022
Date of Decision:01.12.2022

Kulwinder Kaur and another ...Petitioners

VS.

State of Punjab and others ...Respondents

Coram : **Hon'ble Mr. Justice N.S.Shekhawat**

Present : Mr. Shubham Mehta, Advocate
for the petitioners.

N.S.Shekhawat J. (Oral)

At the very outset, learned counsel for the petitioners submits that due to typographical mistakes in the memo of parties, respondents No.2 and 3 have been wrongly mentioned as **“the Senior Superintendent of Police, Faridkot and SHO Police Station City, Kotkapura, District Faridkot”**, respectively, whereas it should have been mentioned as **“the Senior Superintendent of Police, Amritsar (Rural) and SHO, Police Station Bhindi Saidan, District Amritsar (Rural)”** and prays for correction of the same.

Prayer is accepted. Registry is directed to carry out necessary corrections in the memo of parties.

The petitioners have filed the instant petition with a prayer to direct the respondents No.2 and 3 to protect the life and liberty of the petitioners and also directing the respondents No.4 to 11 not to interfere in their lives and liberty.

Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that the petitioners have already submitted a representation dated 24.11.2022 (Annexures P-5) to the

Senior Superintendent of Police, Amritsar (Rural) and he shall be satisfied if directions are issued to respondent No.2 to look into their representation dated 24.11.2022 (Annexure P-5).

Notice of motion to respondents No.1 to 3 only.

On the asking of the Court, Mr. Vipin Pal Yadav, Additional Advocate General, Punjab, accepts notice on behalf of respondents No.1 to 3.

I have heard learned counsel for the parties.

In view of the limited prayer made by learned counsel for the petitioners and without expressing anything on the merits and claim of the parties, respondent No. 2- Senior Superintendent of Police, Amritsar (Rural) is directed to depute a senior officer to look into the matter and after seeking a report, he may pass an appropriate orders, in accordance with law.

This order shall not be construed as an expression/opinion on the validity of the marriage of the parties. The parties mentioned in the petition or any other person mentioned in the petition shall be at liberty to take recourse to appropriate law, if any.

With these observations, this petition is disposed of.

01.12.2022
hemlata

(N.S.SHEKHAWAT)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No
Whether reportable : Yes/No