

[137] IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

COCp-2624-2022

Date of Decision :16.12.2022

Raj Kumar Yadav

...Petitioner

versus

Apoorva Kumar Singh, IAS and others

....Respondents

Coram : Hon'ble Mr. Justice B.S. Walia

Present : Mr. Jagdeep Singh Rana, Advocate for the petitioner.
Mr. Manish Dadwal, Asstt. AG, Haryana.

B.S. Walia, J. (Oral)

[1] Prayer in the petition is for initiation of proceedings against the respondents for intentional and willful defiance of order, Annexure P-1, dated 09.03.2022, in CWP-10438-2020 in case titled as 'Raj Kumar Yadav versus State of Haryana and others'.

[2] A perusal of order (Annexure P-1) reveals that CWP-10438-2020 was disposed of vide order dated 09.03.2022 by granting liberty to the petitioner to file a representation, claiming interest and the respondent was directed to decide the same within 08 weeks by passing appropriate speaking order.

[3] Learned Asstt. AG, Haryana, has produced copy of order dated 12.12.2022, rejecting the claim of the petitioner as made in the representation filed by him. The same is taken on record. Copy thereof supplied to learned counsel for the petitioner, who on perusal thereof states that in the circumstances, he does not press the instant petition but prays for grant of liberty to the petitioner to challenge order dated

[4] In view of the position noted above as well as statement of learned counsel for the petitioner, the instant petition is *disposed of* as not calling for any action against the respondents under the Contempt of Courts Act, 1971, while granting liberty to the petitioner as prayed for.

[5] *Rule discharged.*

(B.S. Walia)
Judge

16.12.2022
'Rajneesh'

<i>Whether speaking/ reasoned</i>	:	<i>Yes/No</i>
<i>Whether reportable</i>	:	<i>Yes/No</i>