

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

(108)

CWP No. 28394 of 2022

Date of Decision : 09.12.2022

Om Prakash

....Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and others

.....Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI

Present:- Mr. Ravinder Malik (Ravi), Advocate for the petitioner.

Harsimran Singh Sethi, J. (Oral)

Learned counsel for the petitioner argues that the petitioner has been selected on the post of Clerk but he is not being allowed to join and that too without any valid justification. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that once the petitioner has already been selected and the posts are available, there is no reason why the petitioner should be declined the benefit of joining the department.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner has already raised the said grievance in the legal notice dated 06.04.2022 (Annexure P-15) but the same is still pending consideration with the respondents and the petitioner will be satisfied, at this stage, in case a direction is issued to respondent No. 2 to decide the same by passing an appropriate speaking order in a time bound manner.

Notice of motion.

On the asking of the Court, Mr. Harish Nain, learned Assistant Advocate General, Haryana, who is present in Court, accepts notice on behalf of the respondents and raises no objection in deciding the legal notice dated 06.04.2022 (Annexure P-15) in a time bound manner by passing an appropriate speaking order.

In view of the pleadings in the present petition, without expressing any opinion on the merits of the case or the claim being made by the petitioner in the demand notice, respondent No. 2 is directed to decide the legal notice dated 06.04.2022 (Annexure P-15), by passing a speaking order within a period of eight weeks from the receipt of copy of this order.

Present writ petition stands disposed of.

December 09, 2022
kanchan

(HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI)
JUDGE

Whether reasoned/speaking? Yes

Whether reportable? No