

In the High Court of Punjab and Haryana, at Chandigarh

1. Civil Revision No. 8645 of 2017 (O&M)

M/s Aricent Technologies (Holdings) Limited

... Petitioner(s)

Versus

M/s Besto Electronics Private Limited and Others

... Respondent(s)

AND

2. Civil Revision No. 985 of 2018 (O&M)

M/s Aricent Technologies (Holdings) Limited

... Petitioner(s)

Versus

M/s Besto Electronics Private Limited and Others

... Respondent(s)

DATE OF DECISION: 15.03.2022

CORAM: Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kshetarpal.

Present: Mr. Aashish Chopra, Senior Advocate
with Prateek Sodhi and Mr. Yash Pal Sharma, Advocates
for the petitioner(s).

Mr. Randeep Singh Surjewala and Mr. R. Kartikeya, Advocates
for the respondent No.1.

Anil Kshetarpal, J.

1. It is not in dispute that the suit filed under the Commercial Courts Act, 2015, is nearing its completion. The decision of the case has been kept in abeyance, due to an interim order passed by the High Court. These two revision petitions i.e. CR-8645-2017 and CR-985-2018 have been filed challenging the interlocutory orders passed by the trial Court.

Since both the parties have already led their evidence and the

suit is ripe for the final arguments, therefore, it is considered appropriate to dispose of both the revision petitions, while directing the trial Court to decide the suit, positively within a month, from today. Needless to observe that any observation made by this Court, while passing interlocutory orders, shall not bind the trial Court while deciding the suit finally.

3. With the observations made above, both the revision petitions are disposed of.

4. The miscellaneous application(s) pending, if any, in both the revision petitions, shall also stand disposed of.

(Anil Kshetarpal)
Judge

March 15, 2022
“DK”

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No

Whether reportable : Yes/No