

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

Sr.No.223

**CRM-M No.55339 of 2019
Date of Decision:03.03.2022**

Dayanand

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana & anr.

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE MEENAKSHI I. MEHTA

Present: Mr. Aman Priye Jain, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

MEENAKSHI I. MEHTA, J.(Oral)

After arguing for a while, learned counsel for the petitioner seeks permission to withdraw the instant petition while submitting that the petitioner would be availing the alternative, efficacious and appropriate remedy, as may be permissible to him under law, for seeking the redressal of his grievance as convassed in this petition.

Ms. Ambika Sood, Addl.AG, Haryana, who has appeared on behalf of respondent No.1-State in this case in pursuance of the copy of this petition having been sent to this respondent in advance, has no objection for the same.

Resultantly, the instant petition is hereby dismissed for having been withdrawn accordingly.

03.03.2022
monika

**(MEENAKSHI I. MEHTA)
JUDGE**

<i>Whether speaking/reasoned?</i>	<i>Yes</i>
<i>Whether Reportable?</i>	<i>No</i>