

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

111

CWP-27249-2022

Date of Decision :29.11.2022

Parmila

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and another

....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI

Present: Mr. Jasbir Singh Ahlawat, Advocate for the petitioner.

* * *

Harsimran Singh Sethi, J. (Oral)

Learned counsel for the petitioner argues that in the present writ petition the grievance of the petitioner is that she has not been treated fairly in accordance with transfer policy and has not been granted the marks for which she was entitled for so as to determine exact merit so as to consider her claim for posting at the opted places.

Learned counsel for the petitioner further submits that the petitioner has already raised the said grievance before the respondents by way of filing a representation dated 23.11.2022 (Annexure P/8), which is still pending consideration with the respondents and the petitioner will be satisfied in case a time bound direction is issued to the respondent No.2 to decide the said representation.

Notice of motion.

Mr. Harish Nain, AAG, Haryana, who is present in the Court,

accepts notice on behalf of respondent-State and raises no objection in deciding representation dated 23.11.2022 (Annexure P/8) in a time bound manner by passing an appropriate speaking order.

In view of the request made, without expressing any opinion on the merits of the case or the claim being made by the petitioner in her representation dated 23.11.2022 (Annexure P/8), respondent No.2 is directed to decide the representation dated 23.11.2022 (Annexure P/8) by passing a speaking order within a period of 08 weeks from the date of receipt of copy of this order.

Present writ petition stands disposed of.

November 29, 2022

aarti

(HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI)

JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No

Whether reportable : Yes/No