

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

106

**CRWP-11197-2022
Decided on : 30.11.2022**

Balpreet Kaur and another

. . . Petitioner(s)

Versus

State of Punjab and others

. . . Respondent(s)

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANJAY VASHISTH

PRESENT: Mr. Gautam Kaile, Advocate
for the petitioners.

SANJAY VASHISTH, J. (Oral)

Prayer in the instant petition filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India, is for issuance of directions to respondents No.2 & 3, to provide protection of lives and liberty of the petitioners, who have married against the wishes of private respondents.

2. Marriage between the parties took place on 23.11.2022, however, in the typed translated copy of marriage certificate, which is annexed as Annexure P-4, said date is wrongly typed as 24.11.2022.

3. Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that petitioner No.1 – Balpreet Kaur, aged 23 years and petitioner No.2 – Sanjeev Kumar, aged about 21 years, have got married on 23.11.2022, against the wishes of their family members, arrayed as respondents No.4 to 9. It has been submitted that the private respondents are threatening to interfere in the matrimonial life of the petitioners. Hence, the petitioners are seeking protection in that regard and have approached this Court by way of filing the instant petition. They have also submitted a representation dated 23.11.2022 (Annexure P-6) to respondent No.2 – Commissioner of Police, Ludhiana, wherein, they have expressed their apprehension.

4. Notice of motion.

5. On the asking of the Court, Mr. AS Sandhu, AAG, Punjab accepts notice on behalf of respondents No.1 to 3 (State).

6. In view of the above, the present petition is disposed of with a direction to respondent No.2 – Commissioner of Police, Ludhiana, to look into the representation dated 23.11.2022 (Annexure P-6) *qua* threat perception, and if there is any substance in it, take necessary steps, in accordance with law, to ensure that the lives and liberty of the petitioners is not jeopardized at the hands of the private respondents.

7. However, this direction will not validate the marriage said to have taken place between the parties and will have no effect on any civil or criminal action, which could be initiated in the matter in accordance with law.

(SANJAY VASHISTH)
JUDGE

November 30, 2022

J.Ram

Whether speaking/reasoned: *Yes/No*
Whether Reportable: *Yes/No*