

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

109

CWP-27542-2022

Date of Decision :02.12.2022

Anju Bala

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and others

....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI

Present: Mr. Suryaveer Singh Surjewala, Advocate
for the petitioner.

* * *

Harsimran Singh Sethi, J. (Oral)

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that respondent No.3-Principal has declined the claim of the petitioner for readjustment under the policy dated 04.03.2020 issued by the Government of Haryana and that too without appreciating the provisions of the said policy.

On being asked as to why the petitioner did not approach the respondent No.2 i.e. higher authority in the department, no satisfactory reply has been given by the learned counsel for the petitioner.

Mr. Harish Nain. AAG, Haryana, who is appearing, keeping in view the service of advance copy of petition, submits that in case any grievance is raised by the petitioner before the respondent No.2 with regard to non-acceptance of her claim by the Principal, the same will be considered in accordance with law and an appropriate speaking order will be passed within a period of 08 weeks from the receipt of any such grievance.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that keeping in view

the statement made by the learned State counsel, present writ petition be disposed of having been not pressed any further with liberty to the petitioner to approach respondent No.2 raising her grievance as raised in the present writ petition.

Ordered accordingly.

December 02, 2022

aarti

(HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI)

JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No

Whether reportable : Yes/No