

Vakil Kumar

....Petitioner

VS

State of Haryana and others

....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SUDHIR MITTAL

Present: Mr. Sushil Kumar Verma, Advocate for the petitioner

\*\*\*\*\*

SUDHIR MITTAL, J. (Oral)

The petitioner filed an application for adjourning the partition proceedings sine die on account of question of title being involved. The said application was dismissed vide order dated 26.08.2022. Thereafter an appeal has been filed. According to the petitioner during the pendency of the appeal the Assistant Collector should not have proceeded with the partition case but he continued with the proceedings. Thus, an application dated 16.11.2022 (Annexure P-10) has been sent to Financial Commissioner (Revenue) Haryana, Chandigarh. No action has been taken thereupon and, thus, the present writ petition has been filed. Notice of motion to respondents No. 1 to 3 only.

Ms. Tanushree Gupta, DAG Haryana accepts notice on behalf of respondents No. 1 to 3 and waives service.

Considering the nature of the order being passed, there is no necessity to file reply.

The writ petition is disposed of with a direction to the concerned Financial Commissioner to decide the pending representation dated 16.11.2022 (Annexure P-10) by passing a speaking order within eight weeks from the date of receipt of certified copy of this order.

01.12.2022

reena

( SUDHIR MITTAL )  
JUDGEWhether speaking/reasoned  
Whether Reportable :Yes/No  
Yes/No