

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

(129)

CWP-27139-2022

Date of Decision : November 24, 2022

Puneet Kumar and others

.. Petitioners

Versus

State of Haryana and others

.. Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI

Present: Mr. Tejpal Singh Dhull, Advocate, for the petitioners.

HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI J. (ORAL)

Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that no order causing prejudice to the petitioners has been passed so far but the petitioners are only apprehensive that whether, the posts which are lying vacant, will be given to them or will be offered to the Guest Lecturers keeping in view the vacant positions described by the respondents.

Notice of motion.

Mr. Harish Nain, Assistant Advocate General, Haryana, who is present in the Court, accepts notice on behalf of the respondents.

Learned counsel for the respondents submits that the present petition is only filed on the basis of the apprehension, which apprehension is also not correct as appropriate order will be passed keeping in view the administrative exigencies so that the work of the Department is executed in

a smooth manner and wherever the teachers are required to be posted, they are posted keeping in view the requirement at a particular station.

Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that as no order has been passed so far causing prejudice to the petitioners and the claim of the petitioners is under consideration with the respondents, the present petition may kindly be disposed of having been not pressed any further, at this stage, with liberty to the petitioners to avail appropriate remedy in case, any order causing prejudice to the petitioner, is passed.

Ordered accordingly.

November 24, 2022

harsha

(HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI)

JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No

Whether reportable : Yes/No