

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

**CR No.825 of 2017 (O&M)
Date of Decision: 18.05.2022**

Gurdial Singh

.....Petitioner.

Versus

Narinder Singh and another

.....Respondents.

CORAM: HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE MEENAKSHI I. MEHTA

Present:- Mr. Ashok Kumar Khunger, Advocate
for the revisionist-petitioner.

Mr. Piyush Sharma, Advocate for
Mr. Nitish Garg, Advocate for the respondents.

MEENAKSHI I. MEHTA, J.(Oral)

At the very outset, learned counsel for the revisionist-petitioner apprises the Court that the petitioner has already handed over the possession of the property in dispute to the respondents in compliance of the order dated 10.01.2020 passed by this Court and therefore, the present revision petition has become infructuous.

Learned counsel appearing for the respondents also acknowledges the factum of the possession of the said property having been handed over to the respondents.

Resultantly, the revision petition in hand stands dismissed for having been rendered infructuous.

**(MEENAKSHI I. MEHTA)
JUDGE**

May 18, 2022
Yag Dutt

<i>Whether speaking/reasoned:</i>	<i>Yes</i>
<i>Whether Reportable:</i>	<i>No</i>