

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

(117)

CWP-28230-2022

Date of Decision : December 08, 2022

Anita Rani alias Anita Kumari

.. Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and others

.. Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI

Present: Mr. Mohit Sharma, Advocate, and
Mr. Maan Akashdeep Singh, Advocate, for the petitioner.

HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI J. (ORAL)

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that there is more than one prayer made in the present petition including the quashing of the advertisement and subsequent proceedings of the selection process but he has instructions not to press the said prayer any further and the same may kindly be disposed of having been not pressed any further.

Ordered accordingly.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner competed for the post in question as advertised vide Advertisement No.12 of 2019 but now the petitioner is being declared ineligible on the ground that the petitioner does not have the specific diploma as required.

Learned counsel for the petitioner further submits that keeping in view the instructions issued by the Government of Haryana, diploma

possessed by the petitioner is to be treated equivalent to the qualification advertised, hence, once the petitioner has been allowed to participate on the basis of said diploma, now declaring her ineligible at the time of declaration of result, is totally arbitrary and illegal.

Learned counsel for the petitioner further submits that the petitioner has already raised the said grievance before the respondents by filing a representation dated 11.11.2022 (Annexure P-8), which is still pending consideration with the respondents and the petitioner will be satisfied, at this stage, in case a time bound direction is issued to the respondents to decide the said representation by passing an appropriate speaking order.

Notice of motion.

Mr. Harish Nain, Assistant Advocate General, Haryana, who is present in Court, accepts notice on behalf of the respondents and raises no objection in deciding the representation dated 11.11.2022 (Annexure P-8) in a time bound manner by passing an appropriate speaking order.

Without commenting upon the merits of the case or about the entitlement of the petitioner for the relief which has been claimed by her in the representation dated 11.11.2022 (Annexure P-8), the present writ petition is disposed of with a direction to respondent No.3 to decide the representation dated 11.11.2022 (Annexure P-8) within a period of eight weeks from the receipt of copy of this order.

December 08, 2022
harsha

(HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes
Whether reportable : No