

251

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CWP-32281-2019

Date of Decision: 25.05.2022

Sardari Lal

..... Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and others

..... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI

Present: Mr. Ashok Sharma Nabhewala, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

Ms. Ambika Bedi, AAG, Punjab.

HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI J. (ORAL)

Learned counsel for the petitioner argues that the present petition was filed for counting the *ad-hoc* services of the petitioner, which was rendered by him w.e.f. 08.01.1982 till 31.03.1985 with the respondent-Department, as a qualifying service for computing the pensionary benefits of the petitioner.

Learned counsel for the petitioner, keeping in view order dated 11.01.2020 (Annexure R-1), attached with the reply filed by the respondents, submits that though the respondents have granted the benefit, as claimed in the present petition, to the petitioner, but implementation of the said order dated 11.01.2020 is still awaited.

Learned State counsel submits that in case order dated 11.01.2020 (Annexure R-1) has not been implemented so far, the needful will be done by the authorities concerned within a period of two months from the date of receipt of the copy of this order.

At this stage, learned counsel for the petitioner submits that keeping in view the submissions made by learned State counsel, as recorded hereinbefore, no further grievance of the petitioner survives in the present petition at this stage and the same may kindly be disposed of as having been not pressed any further at this stage.

Ordered accordingly.

25.05.2022

Apurva

(HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI)
JUDGE

1. Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes
2. Whether reportable : No