

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

113

**Civil Revision No.5529 of 2022
Date of Decision: 29th November, 2022**

Kuldeep Singh Dhaliwal

...Revisionist-Petitioner

Versus

Amritpal Singh & Others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE MEENAKSHI I. MEHTA

Present :- Mr. Harshit Jain, Advocate,
for the revisionist-petitioner.

* * * *

MEENAKSHI I. MEHTA, J.(ORAL)

At the very outset, learned counsel for the revisionist-petitioner seeks permission to withdraw the instant petition while praying that the trial Court be directed to frame a specific issue regarding the plea of the petitioner qua Civil Suit No.303 of 2022 being barred by the principle of *res-judicata* and to treat and decide the same as preliminary issue.

Resultantly, the revision petition in hand is hereby dismissed for having been withdrawn with a direction to the trial Court that in case, the petitioner takes the afore-referred plea in his written statement, then a specific issue be framed in view of the same at the appropriate stage and the said issue be treated and decided as preliminary issue.

**(MEENAKSHI I. MEHTA)
JUDGE**

29.11.2022
seema

Whether speaking/reasoned: *Yes*
Whether Reportable: *No*