

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

Sr.No.204

**CRM-M No.2855 of 2017(O&M)
Date of Decision: 03.08.2022**

Nirmal Singh & anr.

...Petitioners

Versus

State of Punjab and another

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE MEENAKSHI I. MEHTA

Present: Mr. Sarju Puri, Advocate,
for the petitioners.

Mr. Sandeep Singh Deol, DAG, Punjab
for respondent No.1.

Mr. Manbir Batth, Advocate,
for respondent No.2.

* * *

MEENAKSHI I. MEHTA, J. (ORAL)

Learned counsel for respondent No.2 submits that the petitioners and the complainant have already arrived at a compromise qua their dispute in the present case and the complainant has even withdrawn the civil suit in pursuance of the said compromise.

In view of the above discussed submission as made by learned counsel for respondent No.2, learned counsel for the petitioners seeks permission to withdraw the instant petition with liberty to the petitioners to file a fresh petition to seek the same relief, as prayed for in this petition, on the basis of the said compromise as effected between the parties and with an alternative liberty to the petitioners to get the present petition restored/revived in the eventuality of the said compromise having not been acted upon by the complainant.

Learned State counsel and learned counsel for respondent No.2 have no objection for the same.

Resultantly, the petition in hand stands dismissed for having been withdrawn with the liberty afore-said.

03.08.2022
monika

(MEENAKSHI I. MEHTA)
JUDGE

<i>Whether speaking/reasoned</i>	<i>Yes</i>
<i>Whether Reportable</i>	<i>No</i>