

[133] IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

COCp-2608-2022

Date of Decision :16.12.2022

Prithvi Singh ...Petitioner

versus

Sanjay Joon, Director Panchayat, HaryanaRespondent

Coram : Hon'ble Mr. Justice B.S. Walia

Present : Ms. Ishita Jain, Advocate for
Mr. Namit Khurana, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Manish Dadwal, AAG, Haryana.

B.S. Walia, J. (Oral)

[1] Prayer in the petition is for initiation of proceedings against the respondent for intentional and willful defiance of order, Annexure P-1, dated 30.04.2019, in CWP-4640-2019 in case titled as 'Prithvi Singh versus State of Haryana and others.'

[2] A perusal of order (Annexure P-1) reveals that CWP-4640-2019 was disposed of by directing the respondent to consider and decide representation dated 06.09.2017 within six weeks from the date of receipt of certified copy of the order and if on consideration, the competent authority was of the view that the benefits claimed by the petitioner were admissible, then consequential relief be granted to the petitioner within six weeks thereafter.

[3] At the outset, learned Asstt. AG, Haryana, has produced copy of speaking order dated 14.12.2022, rejecting the claim of the petitioner. Order dated 14.12.2022, is taken on record. Copy thereof supplied to learned

circumstances, she does not press the instant petition but prays for grant of liberty to the petitioner to challenge order dated 14.12.2022 by way of appropriate proceedings, in accordance with law.

[4] In view of the position noted above as well as statement of learned counsel for the petitioner, the instant petition is *disposed of* as not calling for any action against the respondent under the Contempt of Courts Act, 1971, while granting liberty to the petitioner as prayed for.

[5] *Rule discharged.*

(B.S. Walia)
Judge

16.12.2022
'Rajneesh'

Whether speaking/ reasoned	:	Yes/No
Whether reportable	:	Yes/No