

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

209

CRM-M-54748-2022

Date of Decision: 07.12.2022

Paramjit Singh @ Pamma

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab

...Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE GURVINDER SINGH GILL

Present: Mr. Onkar Rai, Advocate, for the petitioner.

Mr. Luvinder Sofat, DAG, Punjab,
assisted by ASI Deshraj.

GURVINDER SINGH GILL, J. (Oral)

1. The petitioner seeks grant of anticipatory bail in respect of a case registered vide FIR No.118 dated 29.09.2022 at Police Station Chabbewal, District Hoshiarpur, under Sections 379-B/34 IPC.
2. The FIR in question was lodged at the instance of Muhammad Yusuf, wherein it has been alleged that on 27.09.2022 at about 11.30 AM, when he was going on foot to Suna Sarangwal so as to sell clothes, then two young men came on a motor-cycle and stopped the same near him. It has been alleged that both the said persons were brandishing *datars* and while holding out a threat to kill him, snatched an amount of Rs.3300/- which he was carrying in front pocket of his shirt and also his diary as well as mobile phone. It has been alleged that later he (complainant) came to know about the names of the said persons to be Gurbakhsh Singh @ Basha and Paramjit Singh @ Pamma (petitioner).

3. Learned counsel for the petitioner has submitted that there is delay of two days in lodging the FIR and that it is highly unlikely that the complainant, who belongs to a different place, would have come to know about the names of the alleged accused. Learned counsel has further submitted that the petitioner is a small agriculturist and his mother is a Panch of the village and that apparently he has falsely been implicated on account of some party faction.
4. Opposing the petition, learned State counsel has submitted that since the petitioner is specifically named in the FIR and has a chequered history inasmuch as he stands involved in 4 more cases and even the co-accused, namely, Gurbakhsh Singh @ Basa is also involved in some case, the complicity of the petitioner is clearly evident.
5. This Court has considered rival submissions.
6. Having regard to the fact that the petitioner is specifically named in the FIR and there are specific allegations against him and the petitioner also happens to be involved in 4 more criminal cases, no special case for grant of anticipatory bail is made out. The petition is sans merit and is hereby dismissed.

07.12.2022

Vimal

(GURVINDER SINGH GILL)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned: **Yes/No**
Whether reportable: **Yes/No**