

**110 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
 AT CHANDIGARH**

CRWP-11163-2022

Date of Decision: 29.11.2022

Rajinder Kaur

...Petitioner

vs.

State of Punjab and others

...Respondents

Coram : Hon'ble Mr. Justice N.S.Shekhawat

Present : Mr. Ajit Kumar Sharma, Advocate for
 Mr. Imran Farooqi, Advocate
 for the petitioner.

N.S.Shekhawat J. (Oral)

The petitioner has filed the instant petition with a prayer to direct the respondents No.2 and 3 to protect the life and liberty of the petitioner and also directing the respondent No.4, not to interfere in her life and liberty.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner has already submitted a representation dated 15.11.2022 (Annexure P-2) to the Senior Superintendent of Police, Malerkotla and he shall be satisfied if directions are issued to respondent No.2 to look into her representation dated 15.11.2022 (Annexure P-2).

Notice of motion to respondents No.1 to 3, at this stage.

On the asking of the Court, Mr. Vipin Pal Yadav, Additional Advocate General, Punjab, accepts notice on behalf of respondents No.1 to 3.

I have heard learned counsel for the parties.

In view of the limited prayer made by learned counsel for the petitioner and without expressing anything on the merits and claim of the

petitioner, respondent No.2-the Senior Superintendent of Police, Malerkotla, is directed to depute a senior officer to look into the matter and after seeking a report, he may pass an appropriate orders, in accordance with law.

The parties mentioned in the petition or any other person mentioned in the petition shall be at liberty to take recourse to appropriate law, if any.

With these observations, this petition is disposed of.

(N.S.SHEKHAWAT)
JUDGE

29.11.2022
hemlata

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No
Whether reportable : Yes/No