

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

248

CRM-M-54666-2022
Date of decision : 21.12.2022

Paramdeep Singh

..... Petitioner

V/S

Union Territory Chandigarh

..... Respondent

CORAM : HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE AMARJOT BHATTI

Present: Mr. Amit Dhawan, Advocate, for the petitioner.

Mr. Akashdeep Singh, APP, UT, Chandigarh.

AMARJOT BHATTI J. (ORAL)

The petitioner-Paramdeep Singh has filed the instant petition under Section 439 of Cr.P.C. for grant of regular bail in FIR No.121 dated 07.09.2022, registered under Sections 406, 498-A, 420, 323, 376, 506, 467, 468, 471 and 120-B IPC at Police Station Sector-11, Chandigarh.

As per the facts of the case a written complaint was filed, in which the complainant-Ramandeep alleged that a profile on matrimonial site *Jeewansathi.com* was registered with the consent of her parents. She came in contact with Jagjit Singh who shared his number and they came in contact with each other. He claimed that he was U.S. citizen and had come to India after 10 years. He claimed himself to be the owner of a truck company in partnership with his uncle and aunt i.e. accused Balwinder Singh @ Baldev Singh and Sunita. He claimed that he was having immigration business and he was owner of four houses in Boston USA. He also disclosed that he was from village Jandayala Manjki in Nakodar and he was owner of

three houses. He disclosed his date of birth as 11.11.1983. The marriage was fixed and *roka* ceremony took place in a house at Jalandhar allegedly owned by Jagjit Singh. Amandeep Kaur accused No.4 claimed to be the sister of Jagjit Singh who came from USA. She was to go back early, therefore, the marriage was fixed for 03.06.2022, huge money was spent on marriage. After marriage they stayed for one night at Patiala and thereafter they shifted to her house at Chandigarh. He demanded Rs.75 lacs for preparing the passport of her family i.e. parents and brother. He was raising demand of money from time to time, he used to come late at night. She overheard the conversation of her husband with his friend Mohammad Kaif. The behaviour of Jagjit Singh was rude. Later on, she came to know that Jagjit Singh was already married to one Ravneet Kaur and he was also married to another lady Navjot Kaur. He was involved in several criminal cases, which are detailed in the FIR. All the accused persons were cheating to others, after making a gang. In fact they were not related to each other, as disclosed by them. After enquiry the present case has been registered.

Learned counsel for the petitioner argued that Paramdeep Singh is the husband of sister of Jagjit Singh. He even did not attend the marriage. He is falsely implicated in this case being relative of Jagjit Singh, no role is attributed to him. He has no concern with the acts of Jagjit Singh or his other companions. He has already joined the investigation, it is prayed that his regular bail application may be allowed.

Learned counsel representing the U.T. filed status report along with one copy of recovery memo vide which gold ring was

recovered. Challan is already presented in this case against the petitioner and others. It is further alleged that investigation is still going on. The bail application is opposed by him.

I have gone through the record. I have also carefully gone through the contents of the FIR Paramdeep Singh is the husband of sister of Jagjit Singh. He is not specifically named in the FIR. However, there is reference of unidentified persons. No specific role is attributed to him in the FIR. As per the recovery memo one gold ring has been recovered from his possession. He has already joined the investigation, challan is also presented in the court. The trial of this case may take some time.

Considering the aforesaid facts the petitioner cannot be kept behind bars for indefinite time period. Therefore, without expressing my mind on the merits of the case, regular bail application filed by Paramdeep Singh is allowed. He is ordered to be released on bail on furnishing personal bonds with surety bonds to the satisfaction of trial Court/Duty Judge concerned.

Accordingly, the present petition stand disposed of.

(AMARJOT BHATTI)
JUDGE

21.12.2022
Sunil Devi

Whether speaking/reasoned:	Yes/No
Whether Reportable:	Yes/No