

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA  
AT CHANDIGARH**

**109**

**CRWP-11100-2022**

**Decided on : 24.11.2022**

**Surjit Singh and another**

. . . Petitioner(s)

Versus

**State of Punjab and others**

. . . Respondent(s)

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANJAY VASHISTH**

**PRESENT: Mr. Nitin Sharma, Advocate for the petitioners.**

\*\*\*\*

**SANJAY VASHISTH, J. (Oral)**

Prayer in the instant petition filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India, is for issuance of directions to respondents No. 2 and 3 to provide protection of lives and liberty of the petitioners, who have married against the wishes of private respondents.

Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that petitioner No.1- Surjit Singh, aged about 32 years, was earlier married to one Seema Rani and out of said wedlock, no child was born. However, petitioner No. 1, after getting '*Panchayati Divorce*' from his first wife Seema Rani, has got married to petitioner No. 2- Paso, aged about 25 years on 14.11.2022 against the wishes of their family members, arrayed as respondents No. 4 to 5. It has been further submitted that private respondents are threatening to interfere in the matrimonial life of petitioners. Hence, petitioners are seeking protection in that regard and have approached this Court by way of filing the instant petition. They have also submitted a representation dated 15.11.2022 (Annexure P-5) to respondent No.2 –Senior Superintendent of Police, Kapurthala, wherein, they have expressed their apprehension.

Notice of motion.

On asking of the Court, Mr. M.S.Joshi, Addl. A. G., Punjab,

who is present in the Court, accepts notice on behalf of respondent Nos. 1 to 3 (State).

Although, in the present petition this Court has not to see the validity of alleged marital status, however, being concerned to lives and liberty of the petitioners, prayer made in the petition is entertained.

In view of the above, present petition is disposed of with a direction to respondent No.2 – Senior Superintendent of Police, Kapurthala, to look into the representation dated 15.11.2022 (Annexure P-5), *qua* threat perception and if there is any substance in it, take necessary steps, in accordance with law, to ensure that lives and liberty of petitioners is not jeopardized at the hands of the private respondents.

However, this direction will not validate the marriage said to have taken place between the parties and will have no effect on any civil or criminal action, which could be initiated in the matter in accordance with law.

**(SANJAY VASHISTH)**  
**JUDGE**

**November 24, 2022**  
*Riya*

*Whether speaking/reasoned:*      *Yes/No*  
*Whether Reportable:*              *Yes/No*