

**107 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRWP-11021-2022

Date of Decision: 22.11.2022

Budhisara and another

...Petitioners

VS.

Chandigarh (U.T.) and others

...Respondents

Coram : Hon'ble Mr. Justice N.S.Shekhawat

Present : Mr. Mohan Singh Rana, Advocate
 for the petitioners.

N.S.Shekhawat J. (Oral)

The petitioners have filed the instant petition with a prayer to direct the respondents No.2 and 3 to protect the life and liberty of the petitioners and also directing the respondents No.4 and 5 not to interfere in their lives and liberty.

Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that the petitioners have already submitted a representation dated 16.11.2022 (Annexure P-5) to the Senior Superintendent of Police, Chandigarh, and he shall be satisfied if directions are issued to respondent No.2 to look into their representation dated 16.11.2022 (Annexure P-5).

Notice of motion to respondents No.1 to 3 only.

On the asking of the Court, Mr.Anupam Bansal, Additional Public Prosecutor for U.T., Chandigarh, accepts notice on behalf of respondents No.1 to 3.

I have heard learned counsel for the parties.

In view of the limited prayer made by learned counsel for the petitioners and without expressing anything on the merits and claim of the

parties, respondent No.2- Senior Superintendent of Police, Chandigarh, is directed to depute a senior officer to look into the matter and after seeking a report, he may pass an appropriate orders, in accordance with law.

This order shall not be construed as an expression/opinion on the validity of the marriage of the parties. The parties mentioned in the petition or any other person mentioned in the petition shall be at liberty to take recourse to appropriate law, if any.

With these observations, this petition is disposed of.

(N.S.SHEKHAWAT)
JUDGE

22.11.2022
hemlata

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No
Whether reportable : Yes/No