

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

119

CWP-26789-2022

Date of decision : 14.12.2022.

Hari Krishan and another

... Petitioners

Versus

Chaudhary Bansi Lal University, Bhiwani

.. Respondent

CORAM :HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANUPINDER SINGH GREWAL

Present:- Mr. Aniket Chauhan, Advocate for
Mr. Mohinder Singh Kathuria, Advocate for the petitioners.

Anupinder Singh Grewal, J. (Oral)

The petitioners have challenged the action of the respondent/University for shortlisting of the candidates for the post of Assistant Professor (History).

Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that in terms of the criteria, only 15% of the marks of the screening test were to be taken into consideration but the candidates have been shortlisted only on the basis of merit in the screening test.

Heard.

The argument of the petitioners that the entire marks of the screening test were not to be taken into account is wholly misconceived for the reason that the screening test was for shortlisting of the candidates and the total marks prescribed in the screening test were 100. In the advertisement, the criteria and the marks for the next stage of the examination have been set out and out of 50% marks which are to be given for the academic record, 15% weightage is to be given for the marks obtained by the candidates in the screening test provided they are over and above 60% marks.

Consequently, I do not find any infirmity in the respondents shortlisting the candidates on the basis of their score in the screening examination. The petition being devoid of any merit stands dismissed.

(ANUPINDER SINGH GREWAL)
JUDGE

December 14, 2022
sonia gugnani

Whether speaking/reasoned	:	Yes/No
Whether Reportable	:	Yes/No