

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

(103)

CWP-26598-2022

Date of Decision : December 16, 2022

Pardeep Kumar

.. Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and others

.. Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI

Present: Mr. Parth Goyal, Advocate, for the petitioner.

HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI J. (ORAL)

After arguing for some time, learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the grievance raised in the present petition qua the transfer order dated 06.09.2022 (Annexure P-7) has already been raised in the representation dated 09.09.2022, a copy of which has been appended with this petition as Annexure P-9 and thereafter once again on 20.09.2022, a copy of which has been appended with this petition as Annexure P-10 and the petitioner will be satisfied, at this stage, in case a time bound direction is issued to the respondents to decide the said representations by passing an appropriate speaking order.

Notice of motion.

Mr. Harish Nain, learned Assistant Advocate General, Haryana, who is present in the Court, accepts notice on behalf of the respondents and raises no objection in deciding the representations dated 09.09.2022

(Annexure P-9) and 20.09.2022 (Annexure P-10) respectively in a time bound manner by passing an appropriate speaking order.

Without commenting upon the merits of the case or about the entitlement of the petitioner for the relief which has been claimed by him in the representations dated 09.09.022 (Annexure P-9) and 20.09.2022 (Annexure P-10) respectively, the present writ petition is disposed of with a direction to respondent No.2 to decide the representations dated 09.09.022 (Annexure P-9) and 20.09.2022 (Annexure P-10) respectively within a period of eight weeks from the receipt of copy of this order.

December 16, 2022*harsha***(HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI)****JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No

Whether reportable : Yes/No