

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

(124)

CWP No. 26547 of 2022

Date of Decision : 21.11.2022

Naresh Kumar and others

...Petitioners

Versus

State of Haryana and others

.....Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI

Present:- Mr. Rajesh Kumar Dhankhar, Advocate for the petitioners.

Harsimran Singh Sethi, J. (Oral)

Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that the petitioners were entitled for the LTC for the block years of 2016-2019 but the same is not being extended despite the fact that the Principal has already recommended their claim to the Block Education Officer vide letter dated 13.08.2021 (Annexure P-5).

Learned counsel for the petitioners, at the outset, submits that inadvertently, Block Education Officer, Mudlana, District Sonipat could not be impleaded as a party in the present petition hence, on the oral request of the petitioners, Block Education Officer, Mudlana, District Sonipat be impleaded as respondent No. 5 in the present petition.

Notice of motion.

On the asking of the Court, Mr. Harish Nain, learned Assistant

Advocate General, Haryana, who is present in Court, accepts notice on

behalf of the respondents and raises no objection for the grant of oral prayer as noted here-in-above.

Keeping in view the facts and circumstances of the present case and the oral request, Block Education Officer, Mudlana, District Sonipat is impleaded as respondent No. 5 in the present petition.

Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that the petitioners have already raised the said grievance in the legal notice dated 15.01.2022 (Annexure P-6) but the same is still pending consideration with respondent No. 5 and the petitioners will be satisfied, at this stage, in case a direction is issued to respondent No. 5 to decide the same by passing an appropriate speaking order in a time bound manner.

Learned State counsel raises no objection in deciding the legal notice dated 15.01.2022 (Annexure P-6) in a time bound manner by passing an appropriate speaking order.

In view of the pleadings in the present petition, without expressing any opinion on the merits of the case or the claim being made by the petitioners in the legal notice, respondent No. 5 is directed to decide the legal notice dated 15.01.2022 (Annexure P-6), by passing a speaking order within a period of eight weeks from the receipt of copy of this order.

Present writ petition stands disposed of.

November 21, 2022
kanchan

(HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI)
JUDGE

Whether reasoned/speaking? *Yes*

Whether reportable? *No*