

120 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CRWP-10962-2022

Date of Decision: 21.11.2022

Jareena Akhtar and another ...Petitioners

VS.

State of Punjab and others ...Respondents

Coram : **Hon'ble Mr. Justice N.S.Shekhawat**

Present : Mr. Anil Kumar Sharma, Advocate
for the petitioners.

N.S.Shekhawat J. (Oral)

The petitioners have filed the instant petition with a prayer to direct the respondents No.2 and 3 to protect the life and liberty of the petitioners and also directing the respondents No.4 to 8 not to interfere in their lives and liberty.

Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that the petitioners have already submitted a representation dated 14.11.2022 (Annexure P-4) to the Station House Officer, Police Station Shahpur Kandi, Tehsil and District Pathankot and he shall be satisfied if directions are issued to respondent No.2 to look into their representation dated 14.11.2022 (Annexure P-4).

Notice of motion to respondents No.1 to 3 only.

On the asking of the Court, Mr. Vipin Pal Yadav, Additional Advocate General, Punjab, accepts notice on behalf of respondents No.1 to 3.

I have heard learned counsel for the parties.

In view of the limited prayer made by learned counsel for the petitioners and without expressing anything on the merits and claim of the parties, respondent No.2- Senior Superintendent of Police, DAC Malikpur, Pathankot, is

directed to depute a senior officer to look into the matter and after seeking a report, he may pass an appropriate orders, in accordance with law.

This order shall not be construed as an expression/opinion on the validity of the marriage of the parties. The parties mentioned in the petition or any other person mentioned in the petition shall be at liberty to take recourse to appropriate law, if any.

With these observations, this petition is disposed of.

21.11.2022
hemlata

(N.S.SHEKHAWAT)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No
Whether reportable : Yes/No