

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

113

CWP No. 26722 of 2022

Date of Decision:- 19.12.2022

WALAITI RAM

....Petitioner

VS.

ESTATE OFFICER H.S.V.P AMBALA CITY AND ORSRespondents

BEFORE :- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SUDHIR MITTAL

Present:- Mr. Ashok Gupta, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

Ms. Tanushree Gupta, DAG, Haryana.

Sudhir Mittal, J. (Oral)

Learned State counsel submits that the two marlas land in dispute was acquired earlier i.e. in the year 1988 and, thus, mutation in favour of the petitioner cannot be entered.

In view of the submission made, the writ petition is disposed of with a direction to the concerned revenue officer to decide the demand for entering a mutation by passing a speaking order within a period of eight weeks from the date of receipt of certified copy of this order. The petitioner shall be heard before passing the said order.

December 19, 2022
poonam

(SUDHIR MITTAL)
JUDGE

Whether Speaking/Reasoned

Yes

Whether Reportable

No