

In the High Court of Punjab and Haryana at Chandigarh

239

CWP-26527-2022 (O & M)

Date of Decision: December 02, 2022

VIRENDER SINGH

....PETITIONER

VERSUS

HARYANA STAFF SELECTION COMMISSIONRESPONDENT

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANUPINDER SINGH GREWAL

Present: Mr.Sandeep Lather, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Narender Singh Behgal, AAG, Haryana.

ANUPINDER SINGH GREWAL, J (ORAL)

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner, who had applied for the post of Assistant Lineman under SC category, has secured 45 marks in the written examination and would be eligible for ten marks on account of experience and socio-economic criteria as no family member has a government/public sector undertaking job. He would, thus, be entitled to a total of 55 marks while the last selected candidate in SC category to which the petitioner belongs, has secured 49 marks.

Learned State counsel submits that as per OMR sheet, the petitioner has secured only 30 marks and with the addition of 10 marks on account of experience and socio-economic criteria, he has obtained an aggregate of 40 marks while the last selected candidate in SC category has secured 49 marks. The candidate in the waiting list has secured 48 marks and, therefore, the petitioner is not eligible even in the waiting list. He has furnished a copy of the OMR sheet, which is taken on record.

As the petitioner has obtained lesser marks even than the candidate in the waiting list, I do not find any merit in this petition, which stands dismissed.

(ANUPINDER SINGH GREWAL)
JUDGE

December 02, 2022
A.Kaundal

Whether speaking/ reasoned	:	Yes/No
Whether Reportable	:	Yes/No