

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

116

CRM-M-54362-2022

Date of Decision: 22.11.2022

Daya Chand Yadav

....Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and another

....Respondents

CORAM:HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANOOP CHITKARA

Present: Mr. Jai Vir Yadav, Sr. Advocate with
Mr. Tarun Yadav, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Manish Bansal, DAG, Haryana.

ANOOP CHITKARA, J.

The limited prayer for which the convict has come up before this Court to seeks further time of one month to deposit 20% of compensation amount because the statute prescribes time of two months which was granted to him by the concerned Court.

Given the facts of the case, no notice is required to issue to the opponent because the relief sought is prescribed by the statute. Even otherwise extension of one month to the petitioner-convict shall not cause any prejudice to the complainant-respondent No.2.

At this stage, counsel for the petitioner submits that two months time be given to the petitioner to arrange money so that he need not to come again and again to this Court.

Given above and in the entirety of facts and circumstances, time to deposit 20% of the compensation amount before the concerned Court, is extended till 04.01.2023. Till then, suspension of sentence granted by the Court below, shall continue. It is clarified that in case the order is not complied with by that time, this order shall be recalled automatically without any further reference to this Court.

Petition is allowed in the terms mentioned above. Pending applications, if any, stand disposed of.

**(ANOOP CHITKARA)
JUDGE**

22.11.2022

anju rani

Whether speaking/reasoned
Whether reportable?

Yes/no
Yes/no