

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

116

CRWP-10859-2022

Date of Decision: 17.11.2022

Ajendra Singh

....Petitioner

Versus

State of UT Chandigarh and others

....Respondents

CORAM:HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANOOP CHITKARA

Present: Mr. Kanav Bansal, Advocate for the petitioner.

Ms. Simsi Dhir Malhotra, APP, UT Chandigarh.

ANOOP CHITKARA, J.

Aggrieved by the illegal confining of his wife, petitioner has come up before this Court.

On instructions from SI Santosh, counsel appearing for the respondent-UT Chandigarh, submits that they have recorded the statement of the petitioner and it has transpired that as on date, the detainee is in Uttar Pradesh state.

Given above, this Court has no territorial jurisdiction over this matter. Consequently, the petition is dismissed. Liberty reserved to file a petition before the concerned Court having the territorial jurisdiction where the detainee is staying.

Pending applications, if any, stand disposed of.

**(ANOOP CHITKARA)
JUDGE**

17.11.2022

anju rani

Whether speaking/reasoned
Whether reportable?

Yes/no
Yes/no