

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

108

CRWP-10874-2022

Decided on : 18.11.2022

Antim Yadav and another

... Petitioner(s)

Versus

State of Haryana and others

... Respondent(s)

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANJAY VASHISTH

PRESENT: Mr. Mukesh Yadav, Advocate for petitioners.

SANJAY VASHISTH, J. (Oral)

Prayer in the instant petition filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India, is for issuance of directions to respondents No. 1 and 2 to provide protection of lives and liberty of the petitioners, who have married against the wishes of private respondents.

Learned counsel for petitioners submits that petitioner No.1 – Antim Yadav, aged about 19 years and petitioner No.2 – Rahul, aged about 22 years, have got married on 15.11.2022, against the wishes of their family members, arrayed as respondents No. 3 and 4. It has been further submitted that private respondents are threatening to interfere in the matrimonial life of petitioners. Hence, petitioners are seeking protection in that regard and have approached this Court by way of filing the instant petition. Prior to the marriage, petitioners have already moved a representation dated 14.11.2022 (Annexure P-5) to respondent No.2 –Superintendent of Police, Narnaul, District Mahendergarh, wherein, they have expressed their apprehension, and the marriage was performed on the very next day i.e. 15.11.2022.

Notice of motion.

On asking of the Court, Mr. Vikas Bhardwaj, AAG, Haryana,

who is present in the Court, accepts notice on behalf of respondent Nos. 1 and 2 (State).

In view of the above, present petition is disposed of with a direction to respondent No.2 – Superintendent of Police, Narnaul, District Mahendergarh, to look into the representation dated 14.11.2022 (Annexure P-5), *qua* threat perception and if there is any substance in it, take necessary steps, in accordance with law, to ensure that lives and liberty of petitioners is not jeopardized at the hands of the private respondent.

However, this direction will not validate the marriage said to have taken place between the parties and will have no effect on any civil or criminal action, which could be initiated in the matter in accordance with law.

(SANJAY VASHISTH)
JUDGE

November 18, 2022

Riya

Whether speaking/reasoned: *Yes/No*
Whether Reportable: *Yes/No*