

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

Sr. No.122

**Civil Writ Petition No.26425 of 2022
Date of Decision : November 18, 2022**

Dharam @ Dharam Vir & ors.

...Petitioners

Versus

State of Haryana & ors.

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SUDHIR MITTAL

Present: Mr. Sandeep Sharma, Advocate,
for the petitioners.

SUDHIR MITTAL, J. (ORAL)

The petitioner purchased some land through a registered sale deed dated 07.02.1989 and based on the same Mutation No.334 was entered and sanctioned in his favour. The said sale deed has been set aside by a Civil Court in a suit filed by Jindu Ram and others. On the basis of the Civil Court decree, the mutation in favour of the petitioner has been reversed. Appeal of the petitioner succeeded and the matter was remanded to the Assistant Collector whereafter the Assistant Collector passed the same order as he had done earlier. Subsequent appeal and revisions filed by the petitioner have failed and thus, the present writ petition has been filed.

Learned counsel for the petitioner has submitted that he was wrongly proceeded against ex parte in the civil suit and accordingly, an application for setting aside the ex parte decree has been filed. In this view of the matter, the revenue authorities should not have reversed the mutation.

The writ petition is accordingly dismissed.

(SUDHIR MITTAL)
JUDGE

Yes/No

Yes/No