

103      IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA  
AT CHANDIGARH

CRWP-10869-2022

Date of Decision: 18.11.2022

Sonia and another

...Petitioners

VS.

State of Punjab and others

...Respondents

**Coram :**    **Hon'ble Mr. Justice N.S.Shekhawat**

**Present :**    Mr. Gurmej Singh Bhinder, Advocate for  
                  Mr. S.K. Choudhary, Advocate  
                  for the petitioners.

\*\*\*

**N.S.Shekhawat J. (Oral)**

The petitioners have filed the instant petition with a prayer to direct the respondents No.2 and 3 to protect the life and liberty of the petitioners and also directing respondents No.4 to 6 not to interfere in their lives and liberty.

Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that the petitioners have already submitted a representation dated 15.11.2022 (Annexure P-5) to the Senior Superintendent of Police, Pathankot and he shall be satisfied if directions are issued to respondent No.2 to look into their representation dated 15.11.2022 (Annexure P-5).

Notice of motion to respondents No.1 to 3 only.

On the asking of the Court, Mr. Vipin Pal Yadav, Additional Advocate General, Punjab, accepts notice on behalf of respondents No.1 to 3.

I have heard learned counsel for the parties.

In view of the limited prayer made by learned counsel for the petitioners and without expressing anything on the merits and claim of the parties, respondent No.2- Senior Superintendent of Police, Pathankot is directed

to depute a senior officer to look into the matter and after seeking a report, he may pass an appropriate orders, in accordance with law.

This order shall not be construed as an expression/opinion on the validity of the marriage of the parties. The parties mentioned in the petition or any other person mentioned in the petition shall be at liberty to take recourse to appropriate law, if any.

With these observations, this petition is disposed of.

18.11.2022  
hemlata

(N.S.SHEKHAWAT)  
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned :  
Whether reportable

:  
:

Yes/No  
Yes/No