

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

126

CWP-26899-2022

Date of decision : 23.11.2022

Narinder and another

... Petitioners

Versus

Haryana Shahari Vikas Pardhikaran and others

.. Respondents

CORAM :HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANUPINDER SINGH GREWAL

Present:- Mr. Jasbir Mor, Advocate for the petitioners.

Anupinder Singh Grewal, J. (Oral)

Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that the petitioners were senior to the private respondents both at the time of initial appointment and at the time of regularization, however, the respondents have erroneously shown the private respondents as senior to the petitioners in the tentative seniority list of Water Pump Operators. The case of the private respondents had been considered and they have been given the benefit of seniority from the previous date but no reasons have been assigned as to why the petitioners have not been given the similar benefit. Learned counsel has referred to the office memo dated 30.05.2022 (Annexure P-14) whereby the Executive Engineer, HSVP has written to the Superintending Engineer, HSVP for consideration of the case of the petitioners in terms of their eligibility but the respondents have not considered and decided the same till date. The petitioners have also sent a legal notice dated 21.02.2022 (Annexure P-10) to the respondents but the same has not been decided so far.

Issue notice to the respondents.

At the asking of the Court, Mr. Anant Kataria, DAG, Haryana, accepts notice on behalf of the respondents.

Heard.

The petition is disposed of with a direction to respondent No.2 to consider and decide the legal notice dated 21.02.2022 (Annexure P-10) in accordance with law within a period of two months from the date of receipt of certified copy of this order.

(ANUPINDER SINGH GREWAL)
JUDGE

November 23, 2022
sonia gugnani

Whether speaking/reasoned	:	Yes/No
Whether Reportable	:	Yes/No