

115

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

Date of decision: 24.11.2022

1. CRM-M No.54343 of 2022 (O&M)

Mandeep Singh Aulakh

....Petitioner

Versus

Nidhi Kohli

....Respondent

2. CRM-M No.54858 of 2022 (O&M)

Mandeep Singh Aulakh

....Petitioner

Versus

Neeraj Bhalla

....Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ARVIND SINGH SANGWAN

Present: Ms. Manmohan Kaur Dhaliwal, Advocate
for the petitioner.

ARVIND SINGH SANGWAN J. (Oral)

Challenge in these petitions is for setting-aside the order dated 11.07.2022 passed by the trial Court in NACT No.6932 of 2019, dated 28.05.2019, titled as “*Neeraj Bhalla vs Mandeep Singh Aulakh*” and in NACT No.6933 of 2019 dated 28.05.2019, titled as “*Nidhi Kohli vs Mandeep Singh Aulakh*”, vide which the applications filed by the complainant/respondent for paying interim compensation of 20% of the cheque amount, has been allowed as well as the order dated 28.10.2022, vide which the revision filed against the said order dated 11.07.2022, has also been dismissed by the Revisional Court.

Counsel for the petitioner has argued that since the petitioner has set up a defence before the trial Court that the cheques

have been misused, therefore, she restrict her argument to the extent that 20% of the cheque amount may be kept deposited with the trial Court in FDRs, subject to final outcome of the case and should not be paid to the complainant.

In view of the above, the present petitions are disposed of and the petitioner is granted one month more time to comply with the order dated 11.07.2022, passed by the trial Court.

In case, 20% of the cheque amount will be deposited with the trial Court, the same will be kept in the FDRs and will not be disbursed to the complainant, subject to final outcome of the case.

Disposed of.

A photocopy of this order be placed on the file of other connected case.

(ARVIND SINGH SANGWAN)
JUDGE

24.11.2022
yakub

Whether speaking/reasoned: Yes/No

Whether reportable: Yes/No